



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,221	11/02/2001	Masanori Mochizuki	4272	9694
21553	7590 01/27/2004		EXAMINER	
FASSE PATENT ATTORNEYS, P.A.			PRONE, JASON D	
P.O. BOX 72	6 ME 04444-0726		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
mini Den,	WE 01111-0720		3724	
ı			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/053,221	MOCHIZUKI, MAS	SANORI				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Jason Prone	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress				
Period for Reply	VIO OFT TO EVEIDE AN	IONTUIO) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a roll within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	November 2003						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
, <u>—</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under			, monto io				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	.,,						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,8 and 11-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,9 and 10 is/are rejected.						
·	, <u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
* * *	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminer. Note the attached	JOHNOC ACTION OF TORMET	0-102.				
	un priority under 35 LLS C	8 110(a) (d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
·							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		l 1 4 2				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78.							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the content	•		•				
Attach mont/o							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intension S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s	e)				
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-592)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) </li> </ul>	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTC					
•							

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I/Species I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 5, 8, and 11-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 9.

### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "35" has been used to designate both a supporting hole and a flange portion. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 8 line 22, the phrase "Fig. 1" should be replaced with "Fig. 6".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3724

6. Claims 1-4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schulz.

Schulz discloses the same invention including a guide device (10) for supporting a column (22) having a ram inserted (12) to allow relative axial movement between the column and the ram (Fig. 1), that the ram has an outer circumferential surface of a polygonal-shaped cross section (12), the outer circumferential surface has a plurality of ram flat portions (Fig. 2), that each of the ram flat portions extend along an axial direction of the ram (Fig. 2), that the column is disposed around the outer circumferential surface of the ram (Fig. 1), that the column has a through hole of a polygonal-shaped cross section (Fig. 2), that the through hole is formed of a plurality of column flat portions (Fig. 2), that each of the column flat portions correspond to each of the ram flat portions (Fig. 2), a plurality of roller-shaped rolling elements being provided at each of the column flat portions of the through hole of the column (16 and 17), that the rolling elements roll on the corresponding ram flat portion (Fig. 2), a plurality of supporting shafts being provided in the column (Fig. 4), that each of the supporting shafts extend toward the direction perpendicular to the extending direction of each of the ram flat portions (Fig. 2), that each of the supporting shafts support each of the rolling elements rotatably (Fig. 3), that the rolling elements are disposed at corners of said through hole (Fig. 2), that each of the supporting shafts is supported on both end portions inside the column (Fig. 4), that each of the column flat portions of has a longitudinal groove (Fig. 4), that the longitudinal groove extend toward the extending

Art Unit: 3724

direction of each of the column flat portions (Fig. 2), and that the rolling elements are received in the longitudinal groove (Fig. 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulz in view of Nagai et al. Schulze discloses the invention but fails to disclose that the longitudinal groove has an oil retaining member. Nagai et al. teaches a longitudinal groove has an oil retaining member (Column 4 lines 18-27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Schulz with a oil retaining member, as taught by Nagai et al., to allow the roller bearing to self lubricate for extended non-friction guiding.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Peters et al., Szenger, Magnuson ('703), Magnuson ('898), Axthammer, Hardtke, Furuhashi, Mochizuki ('647), Shigetomi et al., Gierc, Mochizuki ('853), and Brunk et al.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon (every other) Fri.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

JP

January 20, 2004

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700